CHEHALIS BASIN PARTNERSHIP

CHEHALIS BASIN PARTNERSHIP

June 23, 2023 9:30 am - 12:00 Chehalis Tribe Community Center - Library Classroom

MEETING SUMMARY

MEMBERS* and ALTERNATES' PRESENT

Alissa Shay*, Port of Grays Harbor Andrea Dahl*, City of McCleary Andy Oien', City of Centralia Brian Shay*, City of Hoquiam Rex Hapala*, DNR Colleen Parrott*, Chehalis Tribe
Dave Windom*, Mason County
Kevin Eldridge*, Aberdeen
Paula Holroyde*, Thurston Co. Citizen
Suresh Bhagavan', Grays Harbor County
Terry Harris*, City of Chehalis

GUESTS

Mark Mobbs, Quinault Indian Nation; Lacey Wright, Americorps; Bob Amrine, Lewis Conservation District; Elaina Fernandez, Thurston County; Jacquie Miller, DOH; Chanelle Holbrook, Department of Ecology; Carson Moscoso, Department of Ecology; Mike Gallagher, Ecology Water Resources

STAFF

Kirsten Harma, Watershed Coordinator Lauren Church, University of Washington Intern

FOR MORE INFORMATION

• Meeting summaries are available on the Chehalis Basin Partnership website: www.chehalisbasinpartnership.org

MEETING

A. Partnership Business

1. Welcome and Introductions

Chair Terry Harris welcomed everyone to the meeting. Members and guests provided self-introductions, both in person and online.

2. Approval of March & April Meeting Summaries

A quorum was present. All meeting minutes were approved unanimously.

3. Introducing CBP Summer Interns

Ms. Harma introduces UW Interns Lauren Church and Florencia Gonzalez-Martinez. Lauren Church will be working on the WaterSmart Conservation Program and Florencia Gonzalez-Martinez will be coordinating Citizen Science on Scatter Creek.

B. Presentations & Discussions

4. Water Rights Curtailments – Summer 2023 – Mike Gallagher, *Ecology Water Resources*

Mike Gallagher provide<u>ds</u> updates on current river flows and the Chehalis River curtailments for 2023. <u>T-and-this year</u> is the seventh out of the last eight years that <u>this has been continued there have been curtailments</u>. Mr. Gallagher <u>introduces introduced</u> that his presentation will discuss Washington's watersheds and the latest information regarding summer water right curtailments.

A-Water Resource Inventory Areas, or WRIAs, are areas characterized by higher elevations lands that capture rainfall that is then funneled into streams, tributaries, and rivers. WA Washington has 62 major watersheds or WRIA's and each has its own story. The lower and upper Chehalis Basin are explained using a watershed map and the tributaries that feed into the watersheds. The presentation discusses discussed the current state of water rights in both the lower and upper Chehalis Watersheds which included new applications, change applications, existing water right certificates, existing water right permits, and existing water right claims. Mr. Gallagher discusseds the rivers that are monitored for instream flow regulations, meaning, if the flows get below the limit, they junior water rights holders must stop extracting water. The presentation also depicteds the current snowpack in Washington and the snow water equivalent due to Tthe warm and dry May which has led to an unideal snowpack. This information is presented using a Washington map displaying the WA SNOTEL current snow water equivalent percentage of normal. As a result of these streamflow levels, Ecology has sent our curtailment notice letters this month to 93 "Junior" water right holders of surface water. Mr. Gallagher explains the rule that established and does not apply to those senior to this right.

Weather events are another factor that can impact the streamflow levels. There were curtailment letters sent in 2021 stating that if flows fall below certain levels, <u>rights holders-they</u> must not irrigate, but if flows are above <u>due to a rain event</u>, irrigation is allowed. Most everyone has been compliant regarding this curtailment letter in general. With current resources, it is not worth relinquishing water rights due to the detail and tall order but instead valuable to prioritize other areas. Mr. Gallagher conclude<u>ds</u> that this is how water rights are curtailed in the 93 "Junior" water right holders in the Chehalis Basin. The presentation is concluded by Mr. Gallagher, and he open<u>eds</u> the meeting for questions.

- Q) Bob Amrine state<u>d</u>s that he noticed in the letter <u>that</u> the <u>S</u>south <u>F</u>fork of the Chehalis wasn't being addressed and asked Mr. Gallagher if he is aware of any specificsregarding the south fork of the Chehalis.
- A) Mike Gallagher respondeds explaining that if there is a junior water right holder in the South Ffork of the Chehalis, then they are subject to the rule, but the determination would be based on the Porter gauge.
- Q) Terry Harris askeds in conjunction with the previous question, if there is any way for this gauging to be made more accurate in order to not punish those who did not need to be.

 A) Mike Gallagher respondeds that everything water that falls in the Chehalis Bbasin flows by Porter eventually, soand that if itstreamflow is low at Porter, it is low everywhere upstream. Further explains how intermittent highs or lows in different areas will eventually trail back.

Q) Kirsten Harma askeds two questions regarding the 120 new applications: how could you have these at all if we are a closed basin? aAnd secondly, would these be new junior water rights?

A) Mike Gallagher respondeds that for these the applicants would have to mitigate or offset their water use; however, Ecology would not grant this mitigation off the tributaries of the Chehalis watershed. Mr. Gallagher explaineds that any mitigation is not cheap, but there are options and there are some options for offsets.

AQ) Colleen Parrott followeds up on to ask if there are alternative ways of making curtailment decisionss and what streams are looked at to make the decisions. Ms. Parrott also follows up with has concerns about water flow in the upper basins getting too low before curtailments are issued, and stateds she would like to explore that more different locations for gauging through a follow-up meeting with Mr. Gallagher in the future.

Terry Harris thanks Mike Gallagher and concludes the presentation.

5. Presentation: Summary of Learnings from Water Law in Washington Conference – Kirsten Harma, *Coordinator*

Kirsten Harma presenteds highlights from the Washington Water Law Conference. The conference involved information regarding thetheme was implementation of streamflow restoration plans. The other presenters were also from the few watersheds implementing their plans: from including those of Spokane and Colville. Ms. Harma summarizeds key points from the conference and offered to invite individuals from Spokane and Colville for future meetings to discuss these plans further. This conference presented how these planning units are implementing their streamflow plans and this presentation introduces how the Chehalis Basin could implement similar plans based on these findings.

- Q) Ms. Holroyde asked if this the fact that few watersheds are implementing means that there are fewer people requesting money to continue doing what they are doing.
- A) Ms. Harma answered that everyone is still applying through the streamflow restoration grant program, but they aren't organized groups <u>like ours</u>, asking for money from the legislature to do their work.

The presentation beganins with Little Spokane basics. Spokane has a similar history to Chehalis which included a watershed planning unit ongoing since the Watershed Act from the 1990s the first round, followed by plan adoption ahead of schedule, started with the Watershed Act from the 1990s. The main takeaways from the streamflow plan itself include that the tribe wanted offsets by sub-basin, project types included ten MAR projects and five potential water right acquisitions. Learnings since the plan was adopted include that Spokane is now leaning away from this MAR and as they have found that the MAR construction doubled in cost. As a result, Spokane has shifted to putting their efforts into water rights, but limitations include landowner challenges. The Spokane administrative structure includes a single county taking a lead role in implementation and administration. The funding is \$30,000 per year for plan implementation from general funds which is used for county staff admin, surface and groundwater monitoring, and project development for MAR and water rights. Spokane is also conducting tracking in wells in parts of 3 counties, which takes three 3 weeks for the first year and 1 week for the subsequent years.

The Colville Watershed also has a similar history to that of Chehalis. This watershed planning unit has been ongoing since the first law and formed a watershed plan and then a Detailed Implementation Plan. The streamflow planning involved a Hydrogeologist within the planning and

projects were developing where rural growth is most likely and where the most groundwater-surface water connectivity is prevalentpresent. The current projects in plan include water storage and water rights acquisition. Staff and found the engineering projects to be less successful. Projects in the Colville Watershed were administrated by the lead of one county (Stevens County) which has an ongoing planning unit but is shifting its focus to get a water bank with local interest in keeping water in the Basinbasin. Stevens County is also doing outreach to homeowners to get some water rights into trust.

Ms. Harma explaineds she attended only the first of two days but learned that there is a water banking grant program which expanded headwater definition to allow Lewis County to participate. The conference also discussed the Nooksack Adjudication, and a more technical understanding will be gained when a member joins a CBP meeting.

- Q) Ms. Shay asked s that if a new water bank could replace anif a water user could purchase from an existing water bank such as the TransAlta water bank, why would someone create a new water bank through purchasing from e an existing water bank?
- A) Ms. Harma answers: this might occur so they could manage it on their own, put it in to a specific purpose, and or have control over who purchases from it.
- A) Mr. Gallagher comments that a new water bank purchasing from the TransAlta water bank for their own water bank is conceivably possible, but it is important to keep in mind that the water in that water bank in terms of water rights is only available to offset use onfor the mainstem Chehalis River. However, because the streamflow restoration law allows for mitigation of streamflow exempt wells to be throughout the Basin but Mitigation for exempt well water use in the Chehalis Basin has to come from would have to be in the Chehalis Basin specifically.

6. Presentation: Water Law – Dave Windom, *Mason County*

Mr. Windom dDiscusseds a Washington Water Law seminar Water Resources and Local Planning webinar presented two weeks ago to the Planning Association. Mr. Windom explainsed that it was clear and easy to understand and delved into a variety of hard topics. The Pplanning Aassociation emphasizeds that water rights are complicated. Counties have staff including water rights attorneys and conservancy boards who all weigh into water law. One of the main topics that was discussed is what water rights are. Water rights include first in time, beneficial use for water, and for a specific quantity. Mr. Windom also discusses his personal water right in the past. Through this presentation, it is Mr. Windom explained that water rights are seasonal and have particular locations. One specific of the seminar wais that water rights and permit-except wells continue at the county level to be contentious in counties. There are four main permitting exempt wells. Explains that Mason County ran into problems with permitting of projects in terms of development. These problems at the county level occurred as they had difficulty determining with Eecology what is a "project"- and what constitutes a single project. This conflict has been ongoing for 6 years. Ecology and Mason County's definitions are very different, so it has been very difficult to attain an agreement on this.

Mr. Windom explains that through Tthe Washington Water Law seminar webinar delved into the Hirst Decision. This decision laid out that you had to mitigate in time, in place, and in kind. This then means that water rights in streams are protected from new impacts. This decision set a timeline in county planning going forward to track wells and reduce the amount of flow available used. State-wide monitoring and reporting were also significant. Many recent court cases have also influenced water law in the past few years.

Throughout the training, he explains it became apparent that in this work from the county point of view, there is no single repository for data government as and so many different pieces people and departments play roles. Mr. Windom also explaineds that it is difficult to make sure to

<u>that each department</u> adapts to a variety of challenges such as climate change. <u>It c</u>Gould be beneficial for counties to have single-<u>point</u> resources <u>– a Water Resources Department</u> that could be used across multiple counties.

Mr. Windom conclude<u>d</u>s his presentation regarding highlights from the Washington Water <u>Law seminar</u> and open<u>ed</u>s the floor for questions.

Terry Harris comment<u>ed</u>s that he likes the idea of the <u>resource unitWater Resource Department</u> to be put in place for county governments. Mr. Harris then explain<u>ed</u>s that communal operations are going to be important to accomplish tasks.

Mr. Windom explaineds it also might be important to have central water managers or experts so that Ceounty Ceommissioners do not have to be taught after each reelection.

7. Water Quality Improvement Grants from Ecology – Chanelle Holbrook & Carson Moscoso, *Department of Ecology*

Ms. Holbrook <u>i</u>Introduce<u>ds</u> herself as well as Carson Moscoso who is working with her. She also introduce<u>ds</u> her presentation on Nonpoint funding opportunities through the Department of Ecology. There are three main sectors encompassing projected funding available for Nonpoint including Section 319, Centennial, and CWSRF Loans. For nonpoint projects, there are no longer match requirements, which have historically been a stumbling point for grants. Another <u>newer</u> opportunity is on-site sewage- repair and replacement <u>which</u> require a 100% match, <u>which is a newer program</u>. The maximum grant amount is \$500,000 and there is a 36-month agreement duration.

The presentation provideds an overview of grant eligibility regarding land acquisitions. This includes property purchase and conservation easements for riparian areas, watershed protection wetland preservation and protection, and drinking source water protection. There are a few main eligible grant activities for restoration work which include: riparian buffers through the new voluntary clean water guidance, lake improvements—which a phased process is required, wetland enhancements, and stream which includes grading and bank stabilization, installation of large woody debris, and a channel re-establishment/re-meander. Grant eligibility Eligible activities also includes agricultural BMPs such as conservation-based tillage, livestock feeding and off-stream watering BMPs.

Ms. Holbrook explaineds that there are some eligible activities that include BMP implementation on state and federal lands which there are rare exceptions on a case-by-case basis. Ineligible activities also include funding application preparation and previously funded objectives activities. There are also buffer guidelines which include that federal terms and conditions are applied to all state revolving funds and centennial match funds. These buffer guidelines are based on the Department of Ecology's new voluntary clean water guidance for agriculture. Ms. Holbrook then explaineds the mapping tool which indicates stream sizes which buffer width applies.

There are guidelines for Agricultural BMPs such as caps on direct seed including equipment rental or custom application fee reimbursement. The presentation further delveds into grant eligibility regarding conservation plans for water quality explaining what determines if sites are eligible or not eligible. There are also other tasks that are eligible grant activities such as groundwater protection, public outreach and education, technical assistance and conservation plans, water quality monitoring, watershed planning. Also explains that in the slides footnotes there is further information and The presentation slides have links to resources regarding the opportunities she discusseds in the presentation.

Eligible loan activities also exist which include all grant activities, direct seed equipment purchasing program, irrigation efficiency implementation, and onsite septic repair and replacement, and wetland creation—which was emphasized. Ms. Holbrook provideds an overview of the 2019-2021 Outcomes Report which is a story map which displays the mission and, visuals of completed projects, wastewater projects and funding for municipalities, stormwater projects, stormwater in infrastructure, loans and grants, sewer collections and systems, and stormwater infrastructure. Nonpoint sources such as Riparian restoration work is shown in the presentation through before and after photos following different projects. There are also resources such as outreach and education in King County and survey and monitoring. In this case, the funding is there, however, people to carry out the services are needed.

The presentation explains Spokane's project for an improved sewer storage facility and also explains other projects being done elsewhere that the Department of Ecology has provided funding for. Further provides some final things to know regarding watershed plans, landowner agreements, and cultural resource review as well as project schedule tips regarding the timeline of reviews and application submission things to know.

- Q) Lacey Wright askeds about some of the environmental science education that the Department of Ecology has funded in the past, asking if there is any history of the Department of Ecology funding environmental science education were education projects fundeding along in the Chehalis River Watershed.
- A) Kirsten Harma and Carson Moscoso answered that there have not been any of these projects in recent years that they are aware of.
- Q) Alissa Shay askeds if for the Department of Ecology grants if Pport districts are eligible to apply.
- A) Chanelle Holbrook answereds that she will double-check that information, but she believes so. She elaborates that there are two different funding sources.
- A) Carson Moscoso respondeds that SRF Loans and Centennial funding is limited to public entities, 319 funding is eligible to public and non-profits.

Terry Harris thanks Ms. Holbrook for her time and presentation.

8. Partner Updates - Everyone

Kirsten Harma and Terry Harris move the meeting towards partner updates.

Dave Windom explaineds that it is septic awareness month in Mason County, and they are working on outreach current things such as flyers and coupons for improving water pumps and related things and rebates in Mason County. Mr. Windom explaineds that these things have been successful for the county in the past and they are pushing for these initiatives again this year.

Paula Holroyde shareds her League of Thurston County Women Voters finalized report: "Thurston County's Freshwater Future: Adaptation will be a MUST". Kirsten-Ms. Harma and Ms. Holroyde share that this report is available on the League of Women Voters website. This report is a water study that summarizes much of the discussions and work of the CBP for years and contains a call to action.

Lacey Wright provideds an update that the AmeriCorps position for Grays Harbor National Wildlife Refuge education and coordination is open and up for hire. Ms. Wright also provideds news that she is now the education specialist for the Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge Complex now and can now do more outreach for the greater the Chehalis Beasin rather than just Nisqually.

Alissa Shay shareds that there the Port is completing are river and stream projects: one being to replace a water line this summer as well as a and the other a project for along the hauul road project to protect the water line due to erosion. and a Large woody debris project will be added to protect the waterline which will be constructed in the next couple of months. Ms. Shay would be amenable to hosting a field trip.

Brian Shay provide<u>d</u>s an update on the <u>Tour_tour</u> of Hoquiam; <u>s and</u> the dam removal <u>and water supply</u> project. <u>He s</u>State<u>d</u>s that <u>it-the tour</u> far exceeded his expectations, and the history and information was incredibly well done. <u>He is open to putting on a tour and suggests the possibility of continuing this</u> again.

Terry Harris thanked everyone and confirmed the next CBP meeting in August.

ADJOURNMENT

With there being no further business, Chair Terry Harris adjourned the meeting.